Bacterial Genomics and the Human Microbiome

Dr. Bob Blumenthal, UTHSC

Robert.Blumenthal@utoledo.edu

BRIM 6200/6800 Biomarker Discovery, Validation and Implementation

BIPG 6400/8400 Applications of Bioinformatics and Proteomics/Genomics

1. Background A. Distribution and ubiquity of bacteria B. Still learning very basic features about bacteria C. Metagenomics to escape the limits of culturability D. 16S rRNA and "Next Generation Sequencing" 2. Genome sequence as "parts list" A. Gene numbers B. Identifying pathways, virulence factors, drug/vaccine targets C. Synthetic chromosomes (minimal cells, new amino acids) 3. Regulatory architecture as "wiring diagram" A. Operon, regulon, stimulon B. Predicting regulation from the genomes of poorly-characterized bacteria 4. The human microbiome and how it is characterized A. Bacterial "species", and how they change C. Composition at different body sites B. Microbiome microbes D. Twin studies E. α vs. β diversity F. Stability and resilience 5. Medical conditions correlated with microbiome properties 6. Microbiome properties that can serve as biomarkers A. Specific genes/organisms B. Community structure 7. Manipulation of the microbiome in individualized medicine A. Diet and pre/pro/syn-biotics B. Antibiotics and vaccines C. Transplants 8. Homework assignments

Bacterial Genomics and the Human Microbiome

Bacterial Genomics and the Human Microbiome





1. Background – A. Distribution and ubiquity of bacteria

• The great majority of infectious agents are <u>not</u> pathogenic to humans.

 Molecular methods indicate >400 species of bacteria in the human oral cavity. Only ~150 of those species have been cultured in laboratories and identified.

- -Similar situation for human colon.
- -Total estimated number
 - of bacteria on Earth:



1. Background – B. Still learning very basic features about bacteria

Historical assumption:

Bacteria are small enough, and have a high enough surface-to-volume ratio, that no internal cytoplasmic organization is needed...



...while eukaryotic cells are large enough to require a complex cytoskeleton.

1. Background – A. Distribution and ubiquity of bacteria

World Health Organization	http://apps.who.int/gho/data/view.main.1430?lang=en			
Global Health Observatory Date	ta Repository	Most bacteria do not cause human diseas but they cause a lot of death and disease.	e,	
Q.		Search Advanced s	earch Feedba	
World Health Statistics Cause-sp estimates Accidents Infection diseases	Decific mortality causes by WHO Dus O infer comb [> 4,	v and morbidity: Distribution of life years lost by Pregion Ver 17 million people die annually from actious diseases, equivalent to nearly the bined populations of Chicago, Los Ange and New York City. 5,000 each day, ~ one every two secon	e les ds]	
% Global YLLs				



1. Background – B. Still learning very basic features about bacteria

Much larger bacteria are now being discovered, and may require cytoskeletons

Epulopiscium fishelsoni is a gut symbiont of the brown surgeonfish in the Red Sea (light micrograph, bar = $50 \ \mu$ m). Note the presumed spirillum (arrow) with a length of ~18 μ m.



http://jb.asm.org/cgi/content/full/180/21/5601/F1

The colossus among bacteria, ~1 mm diameter, is a single-celled giant that lives in oceanic sulfur-rich sediment off Namibia and is named *Thiomargarita namibiensis*.

http://www.sciencenews.org/pages/sn_arc99/4_17_99/fob5.htm









have

introns. etc.

G. obscuriglobus cells were incubated with GFP and then stained with DAPI and SynaptoRed. A GFP-containing region is seen in the cytoplasm bounded by the cytoplasmic membrane as defined by the SynaptoRed staining and is separated from the nuclear body (DAPI staining). **N**, nucleoid; **NE**, nuclear envelope; **ICM**, intracytoplasmic membrane; **R**, riboplasm; **CM**, cytoplasmic membrane; **CW**, cell wall.

1. Background – C. Metagenomics to escape the limits of culturability Microbes Environ. Vol. 27, No. 4, 356-366, 2012 https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/browse/jsme2 doi:10.1264/jsme2.ME12092 Minireview Are Uncultivated Bacteria Really Uncultivable? NUND DEWI PUSPITA¹, YOICHI KAMAGATA^{1,2}, MICHIKO TANAKA¹, KOZO ASANO¹, and CINDY H. NAKATSU^{1,3*}





1. Background – C. Metagenomics to escape the limits of culturability

FEMS Microbiol Lett 309 (2010) 1-7

Strategies for culture of 'unculturable' bacteria

Sonia R. Vartoukian, Richard M. Palmer & William G. Wade

MINIREVIEW

The finding that certain bacterial species have never been identified by culture may be a simple matter of coincidence: an organism that has a low prevalence or is particularly slow-growing may have been overlooked in cultural analyses. Additionally, many genetically distinct phylotypes are phenotypically indistinguishable and are lumped together if conventional biochemical methods for identification are used. Conversely, **some bacteria are genuinely resistant to culture in isolation on conventional media**.

An alternative approach for the culture of as-yet-uncultivated organisms is to simulate their natural environment *in vitro*. Kaeberlein *et al.* (2002) constructed a **diffusion chamber** that allowed the passage of substances from the natural environment (intertidal marine sediment) across a membrane and successfully grew bacteria from marine sediment that were previously uncultivated.











Analytical Tools and Databases for Metagenomics in the Next-Generation Sequencing Era

Genomics Inform 2013;11(3):102-113

Mincheol Kim¹, Ki-Hyun Lee¹, Seok-Whan Yoon¹, Bong-Soo Kim², Jongsik Chun^{1,2}, Hana Yi^{3,4,5}*

- Aside from experimental design and contextual data, metagenomic data have inherent limitations that must be overcome in the future.
 - ✓ Metagenomic reads commonly show a relatively low genomic coverage compared to that of a single genome
 - ✓ The short length of sequencing reads makes only fragmented information by the incomplete assembly and annotation processes accessible.
- Initiatives are already under way for filling the gap between metagenomic reads by doing
 - ✓ co-assembly with single-cell genomics
 - ✓ joint analysis between multiple metagenomes simultaneously, assuming that the same species must exist in different samples and that the co-occurrence helps extract shared information.
- The ultimate goal of metagenomics is a comprehensive understanding of our ecosystem.



Analytical Tools and Databases for Metagenomics in the Next-Generation Sequencing Era Genomics Inform 2013;11(3):102-113





































Genome-Wide Identification of Transcription Start Sites, Promoters and Transcription Factor Binding Sites in E. coli

PLoS ONE | October 2009 | Volume 4 | Issue 10 | e7526

Alfredo Mendoza-Vargas¹, Leticia Olvera¹, Maricela Olvera¹, Ricardo Grande⁴, Leticia Vega-Alvarado², Blanca Taboada², Verónica Jimenez-Jacinto⁴, Heladia Salgado³, Katy Juárez¹, Bruno Contreras-Moreira^{3¤}, Araceli M. Huerta³, Julio Collado-Vides³, Enrique Morett¹*

- Different combinations of promoter elements vary the basal strength
- On average, σ^{70} -dependent promoters preserve just 8/12 canonical nucleotides of the -35 and -10 hexamers
- ~10% of promoters match the consensus in only about half the nucleotides and yet still serve as sites for σ binding.
- Activated promoters often poorly match consensus (otherwise they would not need to be activated).
- [Also, in bacteria having genomes with low %GC, TATAAT -10 consensus occurs very frequently on a random basis.]

Hard to identify promoters from sequence alone. Reason #4: Promoters do not always fit "consensus"







Evolution of Transcriptional Regulatory Circuits in Bacteria



Evolution of Transcriptional Regulatory Circuits in Bacteria



4. The human microbiome and how it is characterized – A. Bacterial "species" and how they change

"Species are groups of interbreeding natural populations that are reproductively isolated from other such groups."





Ernst Mayr (1905 - 2005)

Bacteria reproduce asexually (and most have single chromosomes).



4. The human microbiome and how it is characterized – A. Bacterial "species" and how they change

Genome Evolution in y-Proteo-S. typhimurium (4206) bacteria Only a small proportion of genes E. coli (4187) have been retained since the . pestis KIM (3883) common ancestor of y-proteobacteria (red). If ancestral and c pestis CO92 (359 contemporary genome sizes are W. brevipalpis (653) similar, most genes from this B. aphidicola (564) ancestral genome (white) have been P. multocida (2015) replaced by nonhomologous genes (yellow to green), usually via LGT H. influenzae (1709) from organisms outside of this clade. The abundance of genes unique to a /. cholerae (3805) species (blue) indicates that these aeruginosa (5540) bacteria (with the exception of the endosymbionts) constantly acquire stidiosa (2680) new genes, most of which do not ampestris (4030) persist long-term within lineages. (Numbers of non-IS or -phage ORFs axonopodis (4193 are shown in parentheses). Lerat et al., 2005. PLoS Biol. 3(5): e130, 1-8

4. The human microbiome and how it is characterized – A. Bacterial "species" and how they change

- Clusters of similar sequences = "operational taxonomic units" (OTUs)
- 97% rRNA sequence identity \rightarrow same species
- 93% rRNA sequence identity \rightarrow same genus
- Warning: up to 5% of 16S rRNA sequences in GenBank may be erroneous (Ashelford *et al.*, 2005; PMID 16332745)



Evolution of Pan-Genomes of Esche	erichia coli, Shigella spp., and					
Salmonella enterica						
	Journal of Bacteriology p. 2786–2792					
Evgeny N. Gordienko, ^a Marat D. Kazanov, ^b Mikhail S. Gelfand ^{b,c}	June 2013 Volume 195 Number 12					
• The pan-genome is the total complement	of genes from all sequenced strains					
of the same species, genus, or a larger gr	oup.					
• The pan-genome consists of <u>three parts</u> :						
✓ the universal genome with genes co	ommon for all strains					
✓ the unique genome with strain-specific genes (known as ORFans)						
✓ the periphery (genes that are prese	nt in a subset of strains).					
· In most studied bacterial species, the gen	e content of strains varies widely,					
and each additional sequenced strain add	s new genes to the pan-genome.					
✓ The E. coli pan-genome is open (far	from saturation).					
 The distribution of the OGs by the number 	r of strains in which they are					
present has a well-known U-shape form.						
 The periphery genes tend to be rare 	(present in just 2-3 strains) or					
almost universal (absent from only a	few strains).					
✓ This holds true for the E. coli-plus-Si	higella distribution .					
 Overrepresented functions in the unit 	ique genome tend to be plasmid					
related, e.g., "DNA restriction-modified	cation system" or "response to					
mercury ion."						



Evgeny N. Gordienko,^a Marat D. Kazanov,^b Mikhail S. Gelfand^{b,c} June 2013 Volume 195 Number 12



 The distribution of the OGs by the number of strains in which they are present has a well-known <u>U-shape form</u>.

- ✓ The periphery genes tend to be rare (present in just 2-3 strains) or almost universal (absent from only a few strains).
- ✓ This holds true for the *E. coli*-plus-*Shigella* distribution .
- ✓ Overrepresented functions in the unique genome tend to be plasmid related, e.g., "DNA restriction-modification system" or "response to mercury ion."



4. The human microbiome and how it is characterized – C. α vs. β diversity

 α **Diversity**: the organismal diversity <u>within</u> a sample. Quantification metrics may emphasize richness (total number of organisms in sample) and/or evenness (whether they are evenly distributed, in contrast to some more abundant than others).



4. The human microbiome and how it is characterized – C. α vs. β diversity

 α **Diversity**: the organismal diversity within a sample. Quantification metrics may emphasize richness (total number of organisms in sample) and/or evenness (whether they are evenly distributed, in contrast to some more abundant than others).

 β **Diversity**: the organismal diversity shared <u>between</u> two or more communities, for example, from two or more different people sampled at the same body site. Can be measured either taxonomically (counting shared microbes) or phylogenetically (quantifying shared phylogenetic branches).





http://unifrac.colorado.edu/root?tool_id=unifrac_significance



4. The human microbiome and how it is characterized -D. Composition at different body sites Structure, function and diversity of the healthy human microbiome 14 JUNE 2012 | VOL 486 | NATURE | 207





Biodiversity and functional genomics in the human microbiome

Xochitl C. Morgan¹, Nicola Segata¹, and Curtis Huttenhower^{1,2}

maintained within each habitat regardless of the taxa present. The stool microbiome was particularly abundant in genes related to complex carbohydrate degradation despite highly variable Bacteroidetes: Firmicutes ratios... The oral cavity microbiome, for example, was optimized for simple sugar metabolism and particularly for dextran. whereas the vaginal microbiome was optimized for glycogen and peptidoglycan degradation. Much like individual bacterial genomes, each habitat thus seems to have a core metagenome present in most hosts, in addition to a pan-metagenome of more flexible auxiliary genes carried by the community of each habitat.



4. The human microbiome and how it is characterized -E. Twin studies

Human gut microbiome viewed across age and geography 222 | NATURE | VOL 486 | 14 JUNE 2012

Tanya Yatsunenko¹, Federico E. Rey¹, Mark J. Manary^{2,3}, Indi Trehan^{2,4}, Maria Gloria Dominguez-Bello⁵, Monica Contreras⁶, Magda Magris⁷, Glida Hidalgo⁷, Robert N. Baldassano⁸, Andrey P. Anokhin⁷, Andrew C. Heath⁹, Barbara Warner², Jens Reeder¹⁰ Justin Kuczynski¹⁰, J. Gregory Caporaso¹¹, Catherine A. Lozupone¹⁰, Christian Lauber¹⁰, Jose Carlos Clemente¹⁰, Dan Knights¹⁰, Rob Knight^{10,13}& Jeffrey I. Gordon¹

b

To examine how gut microbiomes differ among human populations, here we characterize bacterial species in fecal samples from 531 individuals, plus the gene content of 110 of them. The cohort encompassed healthy children and adults from the Amazonas of Venezuela, rural Malawi and US metropolitan areas and included monoand dizvootic twins.



4. The human microbiome and how it is characterized -E. Twin studies

"The genetic and transcriptional diversity of the human gut microbiome is remarkable. Much of this diversity has not been previously identified through sequencing cultured human gut isolates; 64% of the gene clusters present in our microbiome bins had no representative in a set of 122 human gut microbial genomes, and only 17% were shared between the two cotwins. This diversity, even between genetically identical individuals, provides an expanded view of our multicellularity and interpersonal genetic variation."

> "We deeply sampled the organismal, genetic, and transcriptional diversity in fecal samples collected from a monozygotic (MZ) twin pair ... "

Organismal, genetic, and transcriptional variation in the deeply sequenced gut microbiomes of

identical twins PNAS | April 20, 2010 | vol. 107 | no. 16 | 7503-7508

Peter J. Turnbaugh^{a,1}, Christopher Quince^b, Jeremiah J. Faith^a, Alice C. McHardy^c, Tanya Yatsunenko^a, Faheem Niazi^d, Jason Affourtit^d, Michael Egholm^d, Bernard Henrissat^e, Rob Knight^f, and Jeffrey I. Gordon^{a,2}

"We previously observed that adult monozygotic twins are no more similar to one another in terms of their gut bacterial community structure than are adult dizygotic twins. This result suggests that the overall heritability of the microbiome is low. We confirmed that the phylogenetic architecture of the fecal microbiota of monozygotic Malawian co-twins ≤3 years of age is no more similar than the microbiota of similarly aged dizygotic cotwins (n=15 monozygotic and 6 dizygotic twin pairs). We found that this is also true for monozygotic and dizygotic twin pairs aged 1–12 months (n=16 twin pairs), as well as teenaged twins (13-17 years old; n=50 pairs) living together in the United States."





human microbio	me		
Disease	Microbiome-related findings	Refs	PMID
Asthma	Large increase in airway microbial diversity; Comamonadaceae, Sphingomonadaceae, Oxalobacteraceae increases correlate with bronchial hyperresponsiveness	[69]	21194740
Atopic dermatitis	Decreased microbial diversity, increased <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> ; therapy restored commensals	[70]	22310478
Colorectal cancer	Tumors were enriched in typical gut microbes relative to surrounding healthy tissue; healthy tissue enriched in <i>Citrobacter, Cronobacter, Salmonella</i> , and <i>Shigella</i>	[71]	21647227
Kidney stones	Lack of Oxalobacter formigenes	[9,72]	18322162
	increases urinary oxalate levels and risk of kidney stones. Supplementation may be beneficial; results mixed		21460356
Periodontitis	Shift from Actinomyces and Streptococcus to Haemophilus and Selenomonas	[73]	22675498
Psoriasis	Decrease in commensal <i>Staphylococcus</i> and <i>Propionibacterium</i> and increase in Proteobacteria	[74]	22065152



5. Medical conditions correlated with microbiome properties								
TABLE. Diseases and Conditions With Potential Links to the Human Microbiome								
Disease or condition	Proposed mechanism	Evidence	Possible therapies available to alter microbiota					
CDI	Reduced microbial diversity	Animal and human studies	FMT for treatment of recurrent CDI and questionable use of probiotics for prevention of CDI					
IBS	Reduced microbial diversity and decreased Bacteroidetes	Animal and human studies	Probiotics for treatment of IBS					
Inflammatory bowel disease	Reduced microbial diversity	Human studies	Probiotics (VSL #3) for treatment of pouchitis, trials of FMT ongoing					
Obesity and metabolic derangements	Reversed Firmicutes to Bacteroides ratio	Animal and human studies	Trials of FMT ongoing					
Allergic disorders	Reduced microbial diversity	Animal and human studies	Studies of probiotics ongoing					
MDRO colonization	Reduced microbial diversity	Human studies	Studies of probiotics ongoing					
Neuropsychiatric illnesses	Disruption of intestinal barrier	Animal and human studies	None					
CDI = Oostridium difficile infection; FMT = fecal microbiota transplantation; IBS = initiable bowel syndrome; MDRO = multidrug-resistant organism.								
MAYO CONCISE REVIEW FOR CLINICIANS								
A Clinician's Primer on the Role of the PMID: Microbiome in Human Health and Disease 24388028								
Sahil Khanna,	Sahil Khanna, MBBS, MS, and Pritish K. Tosh, MD							









5. Medical conditions correlated with microbiome properties Human nutrition, the gut microbiome and the immune system

PMID 21677749

Andrew L. Kau^{1*}, Philip P. Ahern^{1*}, Nicholas W. Griffin¹, Andrew L. Goodman¹† & Jeffrey I. Gordon¹

16 JUNE 2011 | VOL 474 | NATURE | 327

Marked changes in socio-economic status, cultural traditions, population growth and agriculture are affecting diets worldwide. Understanding how our diet and nutritional status influence the composition and dynamic operations of our gut microbial communities, and the innate and adaptive arms of our immune system, represents an area of scientific need, opportunity and challenge. The insights gleaned should help to address several pressing global health problems.

• Short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) provide one of the clearest examples of how nutrient processing by the microbiota and host diet combine to shape immune responses.

 SCFAs are the end products of microbial fermentation of plant polysaccharides that cannot be digested by humans alone, and the SCFAs affect host **immune responses**.

• Butyrate modifies cytokine production by T_H cells, and promotes intestinal epithelial barrier integrity, which in turn limits the exposure of the mucosal immune system to lumenal microbes, and thus prevents aberrant inflammatory responses.

• Acetate promotes the resolution of intestinal inflammation by the G-protein-coupled receptor GPR43.

 SCFAs may also regulate the acetylation of lysine residues. a covalent modification that affects proteins involved in a variety of signalling and metabolic processes.



Adrenal Cortex

control the forward drive.

The adrenal cortex can be

from immune cells

stimulated by gut

pathogens.

directly activated by PGE2





5. Medical conditions correlated with microbiome properties







Although no significant differences in α diversity were detected on either diet, we observed a significant increase in β diversity that was unique to the animal-based diet.

- This change occurred only 1 day after the diet reached the distal qut microbiota (as indicated by the food tracking
- Subjects' gut microbiota reverted to their original structure 2 days after the animal-based diet ended.







How to regulate faecal transplants

For medical use, human stool should be considered a tissue, not a drug, argue **Mark B. Smith**, **Colleen Kelly** and **Eric J. Alm**.



7. Manipulation of the microbiome in individualized medicine

Weight Gain After Fecal Microbiota Transplantation

Neha Alang¹ and Colleen R. Kelly²

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Newport Hospital, and ²Division of Gastroenterology, Center for Women's Gastrointestinal Medicine at the Women's Medicine Collaborative, The Miriam Hospital, Warren Alpert School of Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island

Fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) is a promising treatment for recurrent *Clostridium difficile* infection. We report a case of a woman successfully treated with FMT who developed new-onset obesity after receiving stool from a healthy but overweight donor. This case may stimulate further studies on the mechanisms of the nutritional-neural-microbiota axis and reports of outcomes in patients who have used nonideal donors for FMT.





Take-home lessons

- Bacteria typically have several thousand genes, that must be regulated in a coordinated fashion in response to time and to complex environmental changes.
- Very basic questions about bacterial structure and physiology remain to be answered, even in well-studied species such as *Escherichia coli*.
 Bioinformatics is helping in this (*e.g.*, the discovery of membrane coat proteins in the Planctomycetes).
- Metagenomic approaches are yielding genome sequences for bacteria that cannot yet be grown in the laboratory, and there is great interest in developing robust methods to predict regulation from the DNA sequences.
- Even in *E. coli*, it is surprising how much remains to be learned about basic regulatory processes. So for the rest of the [huge] microbial world...
- Purely bioinformatic approaches to predicting regulation in bacteria will depend on a fuller understanding of transcription factor structure and function than we currently possess.
- The microbiome appears to have much greater impact on human physiology and health than previously appreciated. We are just beginning to learn how to use the microbiome as a biomarker, and how to alter it therapeutically.

BRIM 6200/6800

1. Choose <u>three</u> or more papers



- ✓ that focus on microbiomics
- ✓ preferably that focuses on a disease or physiological phenomenon relevant to your research
- ✓ provide the full author list, title, year, journal, volume, pages, AND PMID for each
- ✓ provide a 2-3 sentence summary of each paper (except for the one you discuss in detail, per #2)

2. Discuss one of those papers

- ✓ why did you choose this paper to discuss?
- ✓ what problem were the authors attempting to address?
- ✓ what microbiomic approaches did they use?
- ✓ what did they conclude?
- ✓ why were/weren't their conclusions supported by their results?
- ✓ what new questions are raised by this work?

3. Warnings

- ✓ I will compare homework from different students.
- ✓ I will look to see if you're simply quoting from the abstract.
- ✓ I will only grade homework that has been submitted by email as .rtf, .doc, or .docx
- ✓ Be sure to put your name in the document itself, not just the file title.

BIPG 6400/8400



1. Download and read these two papers:

- ✓ PMID: 24814145
- ✓ PMID: 24556726

2. Answer the following questions:

- ✓ What is the relationship between these two studies? How are they complementary?
- ✓ What are the advantages and disadvantages of targeted, sequence-based, and functional metagenomic approaches?
- ✓ What is the "resistome", and why are people concerned about it?
- ✓ What are "ARGD"s, and how was their relative diversity estimated in different environments?

3. Warnings

- ✓ I will compare homework from different students.
- ✓ I will look to see if you're simply quoting from the abstract.
- ✓ I will only grade homework that has been submitted by email as .rtf, .doc, or .docx
- ✓ Be sure to put your name in the document itself, not just the file title.